

INDEPENDENT PROJECT ANALYSIS

excellence through measurement

Attack the Real Issues

Improving Projects in Alberta

Ed Merrow

May 12, 2015



- COAA's focus for projects:
 - Improve construction safety
 - Improve labour productivity

These objectives tend to define the problem at the workface level

• Workface performance can always be better, but is rarely a root cause of project problems



Is safety performance in Alberta really poor?

Is labour productivity really poor?

If either is true, why is it true?



- A Reality Check
- Benchmarking Alberta
 - Clarity of Business Objectives
 - Quality of Teams
 - Following Good Work Process
- Staying on Track



- Safety performance in Alberta has improved substantially over the past decade
- Recordables in Alberta are now in line with peer companies in the process industries
- More serious injuries still lag
- Achieving a goal of twice as safe by 2020 is a reasonable target for DARTs, but is a stretch for recordables



IPA's Core Client Safety Performance Time Trend Improvement Trend Has Plateaued





- Productivity in Alberta generally is poorer than the US Gulf Coast (greater Houston)
 - This is measured by hours per quantity (pipe, concrete, steel, conduit, etc.) installed
 - Controlled for weather conditions
- Productivity in Northern Alberta (above 55° N) is especially poor
- But it is incorrect to jump from that observation to a focus on inadequacy in workface planning or poor labour performance

Well-Defined Projects—Even in Northern Alberta— Achieve Gulf Coast Productivity



Projects from US\$25 to >US\$4,000 million

Engineering Drives Labour Productivity

Drivers of Field Labour Productivity:



The availability of engineered materials



The availability of accurate design

Design and materials are made available



Successful projects (even with some of the world's poorest labour)

Design and materials are not made available



World's best labour using the best workface planning will generate pathetic labour productivity

When Engineering Slips



Engineering Drawing Error Rate Affects Labour Productivity



Controlled for multiple factors

Engineering Slip Drives Field Productivity



Alberta and Australia Lead the World in Engineering Slip



When Engineering Slips



So what causes engineering to slip?



- A Reality Check
- Benchmarking Alberta
 - Clarity of Business Objectives
 - Quality of Teams
 - Following Good Work Process
- Staying on Track



Measures

Business Behavior

- Clarity of business objectives
- Establishment of priorities
- Coherence of the business strategy for the project

Teams

- Presence of all critical functions
- Definition of roles and responsibilities
- Control of team turnover

Work Process Adherence

- Completion of FEED before authorization
- Completeness of the execution planning

Clarity and Coherence of Objectives

	Alberta	US	Statistical Probability
Objectives Considered Clear	57%	66%	< 0.02
Priorities and Trade- offs Clear	30%	41%	< 0.009



- Almost 100 percent of the projects that IPA has evaluated in Alberta have produced commodities
- Commodity sales are price driven and market timing is rarely a significant factor
- Yet, Alberta drives schedule on a larger portion of projects than Industry at large, which includes many time-to-market projects in pharms and specialties
- There is no difference between northern and southern parts of the Province
- No difference by project size

Schedule Is Achieved...



...but NPV Is Lost





- The problem with schedule-driven projects is that the practices used, especially on the front-end, were the poorest of any strategy
- This is because the speed of FEL is outrunning the resources committed and sometimes even the Basic Data development as well
- The bigger the schedule-driven project, the poorer key practices become
- Unfortunately, schedule-driven projects are more sensitive to practices than any other group of projects
- Because there is no slack in time, mistakes are brutally punished; there is no time for work-around



Faster Schedules Drive Poorer Practices in Alberta (and the World)

- Objectives were less clear
- Trade-offs were undefined
- FEL was poorer
- Turnover of team members was higher
- Controls were poorer



Measures

Business Behavior

- Clarity of business objectives
- Establishment of priorities
- Coherence of the business strategy for the project

Teams

- Presence of all critical functions
- Definition of roles and responsibilities
- Control of team turnover

Work Process Adherence

- Completion of FEED before authorization
- Completeness of the execution planning

Critical Functions Are Missing More Often



Alberta's Team Development Lags



Team Development Index

Turnover of Team Leadership Is Higher



Even Basic Practices Are Followed Less Often





Measures

Business Behavior

- Clarity of business objectives
- Establishment of priorities
- Coherence of the business strategy for the project

Teams

- Presence of all critical functions
- Definition of roles and responsibilities
- Control of team turnover

Work Process Adherence

- Completion of FEED before authorization
- Completeness of the execution planning

FEL Lags in Alberta



Front-End Loading Index

FEED Is More Often Not Complete



Execution Planning Lags Badly



Project Execution Planning

An Alberta Case Study: Practices on Productivity

- Recently completed multi-billion dollar project
 - Multiple process units
 - Multiple contractors
 - Multiple contracting strategies
 - Differing FEL by process unit
 - Several labour sources



Cost outcomes for process units that vary from very effective to ineffective

What can we learn from this experience?

Alberta Case Study—Project FEL Status Overall Poor FEL at Authorization



Alberta Case Study FEL Drives Megaproject Cost Predictability



Front-End Loading Index

Shading represents ±1 std. dev.

Alberta Case Study Cost Growth Varied by Process Unit



Front-End Loading Index

Shading represents ±1 std. dev.

Alberta Case Study Labour Productivity Varied by Process Unit



* CEC industry norms for projects larger than \$250 million



- A Reality Check
- Benchmarking Alberta
 - Clarity of Business Objectives
 - Quality of Teams
 - Following Good Work Process
- Staying on Track



In Alberta, All Mistakes Are Punished

- Even with down oil prices and contractors currently hungry for work, Alberta has more projects than its population can easily support over the long term
 - Engineering markets are thin
 - Craft labour markets are thin
 - Projects are often remote
- In this environment, any deviations from Best Practice result in outsized penalties—about twice the negative consequences of the same deviation on the US Gulf Coast
- Yes, labour productivity is not good
- But when practices are best, productivity is excellent

Trying to fix productivity at the workface without fixing the business and project practices first will be an utter failure





<u>United States</u> Independent Project Analysis, Inc. 44426 Atwater Drive Ashburn, VA 20147 USA +1 703 729 8300

<u>Brazil</u>

Independent Project Analysis Latin America Pesquisa E Consultoria em Projetos LTDA Rua Pasteur, 463 Ed. Jatobá - 12o. andar 80250-080 Curitiba, Paraná Brazil +55 41 3028 9028



<u>Australia</u> Independent Project Analysis, Inc. Level 1, 56 Burgundy Street Heidelberg, Victoria 3084 Australia +61 3 9458 7300

Singapore Independent Project Analysis, Pte Ltd. No. 1 International Business Park #10-02 The Synergy Singapore 609917 Singapore +65 6567 2201

<u>China</u> Independent Project Analysis, Inc. #36 Chuangye Middle Road, #502 Haidian District, Beijing 100081 China +86 10 6530 6170



<u>United Kingdom</u> Independent Project Analysis, Ltd. Wellington House – First Floor Worton Drive Reading RG2 0TG United Kingdom +44 118 920 7800

The Netherlands WTC The Hague Business Center Prinses Margrietplantsoen 33 2595 AM The Hague The Netherlands +31 70 335 0707